

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/619,344

**Applicant(s)**

LIAU ET AL.

**Examiner**

J. Eric Angell

**Art Unit**

1635

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) J. Eric Angell. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) John Prince. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 21 May 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 2-42.

Identification of prior art discussed: NA.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

JON E. ANGELL, PH.D.  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

1635

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants were informed that it has come to the Examiner's attention that claims 2-42 were cancelled in the "Utility Patent Application Transmittal and Fee Sheet" submitted 7/14/2003 (see line 1 of page 2). As such, instant claims 2-42 are improper because they are actually canceled, not pending. Applicants were informed that to expedite prosecution of the case they could respond by resubmitting claims indicating that claims 2-42 are canceled, and instant claims 2-42 can be submitted as new claims, beginning with claim 43. Applicants were reminded that any new claims should be given the status identifier "(New)" and should not include text markings. Applicants were also informed that the restriction of record would apply to the new claims and prosecution of the elected subject matter could proceed without further delay.